	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/789,494	TSUBOUCHI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jeffrey E. Russel	1654
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<del></del>
(1) <u>Jeffrey E. Russel</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Terryence F. Chapman</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>3 November 2006</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	·
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed:		•
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See examiner's amendment - agreed to minor wording change to claim 17.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> <li>Jeffrey Edwin Russe;</li> <li>Primary Patent Examiner</li> <li>Art Unit 1654</li> </ul>	ne examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	e interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	nt/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)